ROSEBRUGH, Professor Thomas Reeve

May-June 1919

(043)

TR ROSEBRUGH

See Also –

HONORABLE N W ROWELL

May 1st, 1919

Professor R. H. Rosebrugh,

Engineering Building.

Dear Professor Rosebrugh:

Would you look through this letter and let me know your opinion as to what can be done for Mr. Wilcox ?

Yours sincerely,

President.

University of Toronto
TORONTO, CANADA

FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

272

May 6th, 1919

The President,

University of Toronto.

Dear Mr. President:-

With regard to the letter from Mr. J. E. Wilcox enclosed herewith which you transmitted to me, the following seems to be the situation according to the records of our Council.

Some time ago the Council was approached by a committee from the Arts Faculty Council respecting a request for consideration of the possibility of a combined course in Arts and Applied Science to lead to both degrees. The proposition came, it was understood, mainly from the Departments of Mathematics and Physics, and it was considered on that basis and with regard to any course in Applied Science. The following is a verbatim extract from the minutes of our Council:

> "The committee on combined course in Arts and Engineering reported as follows:
> 1. That difficulties of administration resulting from divided control as well as timetable and other difficulties connected inseparably with a plan whereby a period of two years' work in Engineering coincides with four years of Arts render such a plan unadvisable.
> 2. That a plan involving three years' work in certain honour courses in Arts in which special stress is laid on Mathematics or Physics or both, followed by three years' work in Engineering, beginning the regular course in the second year would be feasible, and that if such a proposition were made it would be desirable for this Faculty to accept it.
> The report was received and adopted."

Since this decision of the Council another meeting was held with the committee from the Arts Faculty Council. We are not aware whether any action has since been taken by the Arts Faculty Council.

As our course is intended to train men for professional work, there is a minimum beyond which it cannot be reduced. We believe that the reduction to three years is the maximum possible, and only under such conditions as those referred to above. It would appear to be necessary then, if any shortening of time on a double course is to be permitted to returned men, that this should be on other work than that necessary as a preparation for professional work.

Yours truly,

J. R. Rosebush

151

June 6th, 1919

Professor Rosebrugh,

Engineering Building.

Dear Professor Rosebrugh:

I have a letter from Mr. Harling saying that he is going to comply with your requests and will give the additional eighteen inches, as he recognises it would be unfortunate if you found later that even to a small extent your work should suffer by lack of width. He mentions the reasons why he had resisted before, and with regard to the elevator he says the suggestions are good and affect nothing except the details and will be attended to. I hope that this will settle that matter.

Yours sincerely,

President.

University of Toronto
TORONTO, CANADA

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

June 11th, 1919

The President,

University of Toronto.

Dear Dr. Falconer:-

Please accept my thanks for the very satisfactory arrangement concluded with Mr. Darling with reference to the additional eighteen inches and the elevator.

Yours sincerely,

J. R. Rosebrugh